	Application N	0.	Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	10/768,155	YAMAMOTO		
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Anton B Harris	3	2831	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) <u>Anton B Harris</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Yeung Choy</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>13 September 2004</u> .				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)⊡ applicant′	s representative	]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: 1, 7, and 16.				
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Honda et al. (5,430,608)</u> .				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. (	g)⊡ was not re	ached. h)∏ N	/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Examiner Harris agreed</u> will file a response for further consideration.				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the ame			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	i	Examiner's sign	ature, if required	<del></del>